08-13555-mg Doc 51875 Filed 01/21/16 Entered 01/21/16 17:58:35 Main Document HEARING DATE AND THATE; February 23, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time)

RESPONSE DEADLINE: February 22, 2016 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)

CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST, COLT & MOSLE LLP

101 Park Avenue New York, New York 10178-0061

Telephone: (212) 696-6000 Facsimile: (212) 697-1559

L. P. Harrison 3rd Cindi Eilbott Giglio

Counsel for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Certain of Its Affiliates

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

In re : Chapter 11 Case No.

LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al., : 08-13555 (SCC)

:

Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

NOTICE OF HEARING ON PLAN ADMINISTRATOR'S OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF MORGAN STANLEY BANK INTERNATIONAL LIMITED (CLAIM NO. 21866)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 21, 2016 Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ("LBHI" or the "Plan Administrator"), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors for the entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases, filed its objection to claim number 21866 (the "Claim Objection"), and that a hearing (the "Hearing") to consider the Claim Objection will be held before the Honorable Shelley C. Chapman, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Courtroom 623 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, on February 23, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time), or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

08-13555-mg Doc 51875 Filed 01/21/16 Entered 01/21/16 17:58:35 Main Document Pa 2 of 20

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses to the Claim Objection must be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court (a) electronically in accordance with General Order M-399 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's filing system, and (b) by all other parties in interest, on a CD-ROM or 3.5 inch disk, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format (with a hard copy delivered directly to Chambers), in accordance with General Order M-182 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov), and shall be served in accordance with General Order M-399 upon (i) the chambers of the Honorable Shelley C. Chapman, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, Courtroom 623; (ii) attorneys for LBHI and certain of its affiliates, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153 (Attn: Garrett Fail, Esq.); (iii) conflicts counsel for LBHI and certain of its affiliates, Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP, 101 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10178 (Attn: L. P. Harrison 3rd, Esq. and Cindi Giglio, Esq.); and (iv) the Office of the United States Trustee for Region 2, U.S. Federal Office Building, 201 Varick Street, Suite 1006, New York, New York 10014 (Attn: William K. Harrington, Esq., Susan Golden, Esq., and Andrea B. Schwartz, Esq.); so as to be so filed and received by no later than **February 22, 2016 at 4:00 p.m.** (Eastern Time) (the "Response Deadline").

[Remainder of Page Left Blank Intentionally]

08-13555-mg Doc 51875 Filed 01/21/16 Entered 01/21/16 17:58:35 Main Document Pg 3 of 20

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if no responses are timely filed and served with respect to the Claim Objection or any claim set forth thereon, the Plan Administrator may, on or after the Response Deadline, submit to the Bankruptcy Court an order substantially in the form of the proposed order annexed to the Claim Objection, which order may be entered with no further notice or opportunity to be heard offered to any party.

Dated: January 21, 2016 New York, New York

CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST, COLT & MOSLE LLP

By: /s/ L. P. Harrison 3rd
L. P. Harrison 3rd
Cindi Eilbott Giglio

101 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10178-0061

Telephone: (212) 696-6000 Facsimile: (212) 697-1559

Counsel for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Certain of Its Affiliates

08-13555-mg Doc 51875 Filed 01/21/16 Entered 01/21/16 17:58:35 Main Document HEARING DATE AND THATE; February 23, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time)

RESPONSE DEADLINE: February 22, 2016 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)

CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST, COLT & MOSLE LLP

101 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10178-0061

Telephone: (212) 696-6000 Facsimile: (212) 697-1559

L. P. Harrison 3rd Cindi Eilbott Giglio

Counsel for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Certain of Its Affiliates

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re : Chapter 11 Case No.

.

LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al., : 08-13555 (SCC)

:

Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

PLAN ADMINISTRATOR'S OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF MORGAN STANLEY BANK INTERNATIONAL LIMITED (CLAIM NO. 21866)

TO THE HONORABLE SHELLEY C. CHAPMAN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ("<u>LBHI</u>" or the "<u>Plan Administrator</u>"), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors (the "<u>Plan</u>")¹ for the entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases (the "Chapter 11 Estates"), respectfully represents as follows:

RELIEF REQUESTED

 On September 21, 2009, Banque de Luxembourg filed proof of claim number 21866 (the "<u>No Liability Claim</u>") against LBHI based upon a purported guarantee by LBHI of the obligations of Lehman Brothers (Luxembourg) S.A. ("<u>LBSA</u>"), an LBHI subsidiary.

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Plan.

On May 24, 2010, the No Liability Claim was transferred to Morgan Stanley Bank International Limited (the "Claimant"). After reviewing the No Liability Claim and the documentation provided in support thereof, the Plan Administrator has determined that LBHI is not liable to the Claimant.

2. Accordingly, the Plan Administrator therefore files this objection (the "<u>Claim Objection</u>"), pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (as amended, the "<u>Bankruptcy Code</u>") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "<u>Bankruptcy Rules</u>"), seeking the disallowance and expungement of the No Liability Claim.

JURISDICTION

3. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

BACKGROUND

- 4. Commencing on September 15, 2008, and periodically thereafter, LBHI and certain of its subsidiaries commenced with this Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. These chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).
- 5. On December 6, 2011, the Court entered an order confirming the Plan, ECF No. 23023. The Plan became effective on March 6, 2012. Pursuant to the Plan, the Plan Administrator is authorized to interpose and prosecute objections to claims filed against the Chapter 11 Estates.
- 6. The No Liability Claim asserts that LBHI, as an alleged guarantor, is liable for amounts purportedly owed to the Claimant by LBSA. The Claimant relies on a purported guaranty issued by LBHI as a Resolution from the Board of Directors of LBHI, dated June 9, 2005, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and a purported guarantee issued

by LBHI to LBSA, dated August 24, 2000, a copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit B</u> (collectively, the "Alleged General Guaranty").

7. The Claimant has not provided evidence that it knew of the Alleged General Guaranty before entering into the relevant transaction or that it relied on the Alleged General Guaranty in deciding whether to extend credit to or transact business with LBSA. As a result, the No Liability Claim should be disallowed and expunged with prejudice.

THE NO LIABILITY CLAIM SHOULD BE DISALLOWED AND EXPUNGED

- 8. A filed proof of claim is "deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects." 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). If an objection refuting at least one of the claim's essential allegations is asserted, the claimant has the burden to demonstrate the validity of the claim. *See In re Oneida Ltd.*, 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009); *In re Adelphia Commc'ns Corp.*, No. 02-41729, 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 660, at *15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 20, 2007); *In re Rockefeller Ctr. Props.*, 272 B.R. 524, 539 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2000). Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in relevant part, that a claim may not be allowed to the extent that "such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1).
- 9. A guaranty is a contractual undertaking by one party, the guarantor, to satisfy the obligations of another party, the primary obligor, to a third party, the creditor, in the event of a default by the primary obligor. *See Nanjing Textiles IMP/EXP Corp. v. NCC Sportswear Corp.*, No. 06 Civ. 52(JGK), 2006 WL 2337186, at *13 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 11, 2006); RESTATEMENT (THIRD) OF SURETYSHIP & GUARANTY § 1 cmts. f, g (1996); BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (9th ed. 2009); 38 Am. Jur. 2D *Guaranty* § 1 (2014). Like all contracts, acceptance

08-13555-mg Doc 51875 Filed 01/21/16 Entered 01/21/16 17:58:35 Main Document Pg 7 of 20

is a crucial element in forming a valid guaranty contract. The United States Supreme Court in *Davis Sewing-Mach. Co. v. Richards*, 115 U.S. 524 (1885), explained:

A contract of guaranty, like every other contract, can only be made by mutual assent of the parties. . . . [I]f the guaranty is signed by the guarantor without any previous request of the other party, and in his absence, for no consideration moving between them except future advances to be made to the principal debtor, the guaranty is in legal effect an offer or proposal on the part of the guarantor, needing an acceptance by the other party to complete the contract.

Id. at 525 (emphasis added). Furthermore, under the New York Statute of Frauds, a guaranty contract must be in writing and signed by the guarantor. *See* N.Y. GEN. OBLIG. LAW § 5-701(a)(2) (McKinney 2002); *see also DeRosis v. Kaufman*, 641 N.Y.S.2d 831, 832–34 (N.Y. App. Div. 1996).²

- 10. General guaranties are offers that can be accepted by anyone to whom they are presented and do not necessarily specify the particular transactions that will be guaranteed. *See Evansville Nat'l Bank v. Kaufman*, 93 N.Y. 273, 276 (1883); 38 Am. Jur. 2D *Guaranty* § 14; *see also Philip Carey Co. v. Duffy*, 10 N.Y.S.2d 876, 876 (N.Y. App. Div. 1939) (guarantor provided a letter of credit for buying materials). In contrast, specific guaranties identify the benefiting creditor or, "with precision," the underlying agreements being guaranteed. *See, e.g., Cavendish Traders, Ltd. v. Nice Skate Shoes, Ltd.*, 117 F. Supp. 2d 394, 400 (S.D.N.Y. 2000) (stating that a valid guaranty must describe "with precision the obligation to which the person is bound").
- 11. Acceptance of a general guaranty may be indicated by a party extending credit in reliance on the guaranty—and the extension of credit also qualifies as consideration for the guaranty. *See Evansville Nat'l Bank*, 93 N.Y. at 279; 63 N.Y. Jur. 2D *Guaranty and*

² The Plan Administrator reserves all arguments including whether the Alleged General Guaranty is a guaranty contract of any kind, as well as whether the Alleged General Guaranty would satisfy the Statute of Frauds.

Suretyship § 78 (2014); see also Union Carbide Corp. v. Katz, 489 F.2d 1374, 1376 (7th Cir. 1973); Farmers' State Bank of York v. Brock, 234 N.W. 92, 94 (Neb. 1931) (holding that extending credit in reliance on the guaranty provided consideration for the guaranty). Thus, in order to benefit from a general guaranty, a creditor must have known of the existence of the guaranty and acted in reliance upon its terms when entering into the transaction with the primary obligor. As the court in Federal Deposit Insurance Corp. v. Schumacher, 660 F. Supp. 6 (E.D.N.Y. 1984), explained:

It is, of course, elementary that a creditor's right to enforce a contract of guaranty *must be based upon knowledge of the existence of the guaranty* and that the credit must be extended in reliance thereof[.]

Id. at 8 (emphasis added) (citations omitted). Indeed, it is well-settled that:

A "general" guaranty is addressed to persons generally and may be enforced by anyone to whom it is presented although it has been recognized that the person so acting *must have had definite knowledge of the existence of the guaranty and acted in reliance on it.*

38 Am. Jur. 2D *Guaranty* § 14 (emphasis added) (footnote omitted).

12. Courts consistently apply this test of knowledge and reliance. *See, e.g.*, *Philip Carey Co. v. Duffy*, 10 N.Y.S.2d 876 (N.Y. App. Div. 1939); *Lakhaney v. Anzelone*, 788 F.Supp. 160, 161 (S.D.N.Y. 1992) ("On the same day, defendants James F. Anzelone and Bart Tarulli, both New York residents, executed guarantees of payment for the note in order to induce plaintiff to make the loan to 5550 Corp."); *First Am. Bank of New York v. Builders Funding Corp.*, 607 N.Y.S.2d 460 (N.Y. App. Div. 1994) ("It is settled law that a guarantee executed in exchange for, and as a condition of, a promise to advance funds to a third party in the future, coupled with an actual advance at a later date, is supported by ample consideration."); *see also Joe Balestrieri & Co. v. Comm'r of Internal Revenue*, 177 F.2d 867, 873 (9th Cir. 1949)

08-13555-mg Doc 51875 Filed 01/21/16 Entered 01/21/16 17:58:35 Main Document Pg 9 of 20

(recognizing the validity of guaranty claim where guaranty was made at the request of the prospective creditor and was delivered by the primary obligor); *J.C. Wattenbarger & Sons v. Sanders*, 30 Cal. Rptr. 910, 915 (Cal. Ct. App. 1963) (rejecting guaranty claim where there was "no proof that credit was extended with knowledge of it and reliance upon it"); *Calcot Ass'n v. Coast Cotton Mills*, 295 P.2d 1, 4 (Cal. Dist. Ct. App. 1956) (recognizing guaranty claim where court found that product was delivered to the primary obligor "only because the guaranties had been made").

- 13. In *Philip Carey Co. v. Duffy*, 10 N.Y.S.2d 876 (N.Y. App. Div. 1939), for example, the primary obligor presented potential creditors with a general letter of credit from its guarantor that was intended by the guarantor (the defendant) to be used to secure debts for materials the primary obligor bought on credit. *Id.* at 876. The court, noting that the plaintiff acted "in reliance upon that letter and promise of credit" when the plaintiff sold materials to the primary obligor, held that the plaintiff was "entitled to recover from defendant the agreed price of the materials." *Id.* (emphasis added).
- 14. Similarly, Farmers' State Bank of York v. Brock, 234 N.W. 92, 92-93 (Neb. 1931), concerned a general guaranty signed by the primary obligor's stockholders so that the primary obligor could obtain further extensions of credit. The court granted judgment only to those guaranty claim creditors "who had knowledge of it and extended credit to the association on the faith and credit of it." *Id.* at 94. As for the other creditors who provided insufficient evidence of knowledge and reliance, the court ruled that there was no consideration for the guaranty and denied judgment. *Id.*
- 15. To the extent that the Alleged General Guaranty is deemed to be a guaranty at all, it would be, at best, a general guaranty. The Alleged General Guaranty broadly

provides that LBHI "fully guarantees the payment of all liabilities, obligations and commitments of the subsidiaries set forth on Schedule A hereto." *See* Ex. B p. 2. The Alleged General Guaranty does not identify any particular counterparties or transactions to which it might apply, much less "with precision." *See Cavendish Traders*, *Ltd.*, 117 F. Supp. 2d at 400. Accordingly, if the Alleged General Guaranty is deemed to be a guaranty at all, it would be enforceable only by a creditor that knew of and relied upon it when transacting business with LBSA.

- Guaranty, does not meet the basic threshold of proof necessary here: that it knew of the Alleged General Guaranty and relied upon it as a guaranty when entering into the transaction underlying the current claim against LBHI, as the law discussed herein clearly requires. Consequently, the Claimant does not have a valid guaranty claim against LBHI, and the Plan Administrator has no basis for allowing its claim.
- 17. If the No Liability Claim remains on the claims register, the potential exists for recovery by a party who does not hold a valid claim against LBHI. Accordingly, the Plan Administrator respectfully requests that the Court disallow and expunge the No Liability Claim.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

18. The Plan Administrator reserves all rights to object on any other basis to the No Liability Claim as to which the Court does not grant the relief requested herein. The Plan Administrator reserves the right to conduct further discovery as to the No Liability Claim and any matters raised by the Claimant and to supplement this and other filings as a result thereof.

08-13555-mg Doc 51875 Filed 01/21/16 Entered 01/21/16 17:58:35 Main Document Pg 11 of 20

NOTICE

19. No trustee has been appointed in these Chapter 11 Cases. The Plan

Administrator has served notice of the Claim Objection on (i) the United States Trustee for

Region 2; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv)

the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) the Claimant; and (vi) all

other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended

order entered on June 17, 2010 governing case management and administrative procedures for

these cases, ECF No. 9635. The Plan Administrator submits that no other or further notice need

be provided.

20. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Plan

Administrator or the Chapter 11 Estates to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE the Plan Administrator respectfully requests that the Court grant

the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: January 21, 2016

New York, New York

CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST, COLT & MOSLE LLP

By:

/s/ L. P. Harrison 3rd

L. P. Harrison 3rd

Cindi Eilbott Giglio

101 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10178-0061

Telephone: (212) 696-6000

Facsimile: (212) 697-1559

Counsel for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.

and Certain of Its Affiliates

8

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

In re : Chapter 11 Case No.

LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al., : 08-13555 (SCC)

:

Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

-----X

ORDER GRANTING THE PLAN ADMINISTRATOR'S OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF MORGAN STANLEY BANK INTERNATIONAL LIMITED (CLAIM NO. 21866)

Upon the objection to claim number 21866, dated January 21, 2016 (the "Claim Objection"), of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors for the entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases, pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 3007 of the Bankruptcy Rules, seeking disallowance of claim number 21866, all as more fully described in the Claim Objection; and due and proper notice of the Claim Objection having been provided, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Claim Objection is in the best interests of the Chapter 11 Estates, their creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Claim Objection establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Claim Objection is granted; and it is further

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Claim Objection.

08-13555-mg Doc 51875 Filed 01/21/16 Entered 01/21/16 17:58:35 Main Document Pg 13 of 20

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, claim number 21866 is disallowed and expunged in its entirety; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: ______, 2015 New York, New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

08-13555-mg Doc 51875 Filed 01/21/16 Entered 01/21/16 17:58:35 Main Document Pg 14 of 20

EXHIBIT A

UNANIMOUS WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE

BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF

LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.

The undersigned, being both members of the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Corporation"), do hereby adopt the following resolutions by unanimous written consent in lieu of a meeting in accordance with Section 141(f) of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware:

WHEREAS, the Corporation has previously authorized by specific resolution, which authority has not been revoked (the "Outstanding Guarantee Resolutions"), the guarantee of all or specified obligations and liabilities of certain direct and indirect subsidiaries of the Corporation, each of which is a "Guaranteed Subsidiary" as such term is used in the Corporation's Code of Authorities as currently in effect (the "Code"),

WHEREAS, certain of the Guaranteed Subsidiaries presently enjoy full guarantees while others have only partial guarantees, and the Corporation now wishes to expand such partial guarantees to full guarantees,

WHEREAS, due to the passage of time the names of certain of the Guaranteed Subsidiaries have changed, rendering the Outstanding Guarantee Resolutions out of date to that extent,

WHEREAS, the Corporation wishes to clarify that its guarantee of any Guaranteed Subsidiary with respect to any given transaction is not contingent upon the issuance of a signed guarantee pertaining to such transaction,

WHEREAS, Management wishes to establish additional Guaranteed Subsidiaries,

WHEREAS, Management wishes to specify that to the extent lawful and allowable, guarantees issued by the Corporation concerning certain of the Guaranteed Subsidiaries should originate with the branch of the Corporation located in London, England, so as to secure certain tax and accounting benefits, and

WHEREAS, Management believes that it would facilitate the conduct of the business of the Corporation to supersede and replace the various Outstanding Guarantee Resolutions in their entirety with this single document.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT,

NO.290 (1 NO.504 (1982

RESOLVED, that the Corporation hereby fully guarantees the payment of all liabilities, obligations and commitments of the subsidiaries set forth on Schedulc A hereto, each of which shall be a Guaranteed Subsidiary for purposes of the Code;

RESOLVED, that the Outstanding Guarantee Resolutions are hereby superseded and replaced in their entirety with this single document, <u>provided</u> that any guarantees provided pursuant to the Outstanding Guarantee Resolutions and outstanding on the date bereof, whether in the form of a separately executed individual guarantee or otherwise, shall remain issued, outstanding and valid for all purposes;

RESOLVED, that guarantees provided by the Corporation concerning certain of the Guaranteed Subsidiaries should originate with the branch of the Corporation located in London, England, to the extent lawful and allowable, as specified on Schedule A hereto:

RESOLVED, that each of the persons listed in the Code (as it may be amended from time to time) as being authorized to approve individual guarantees issued by the Corporation with respect to Guaranteed Subsidiaries, or any proper delegae thereof (collectively, "Authorized Persons"), are hereby authorized, in the name and on behalf of the Corporation, to execute such guarantees in such form as is approved by an attorney of the Corporation and such Authorized Person, subject to any limitations specified herein, his or her execution of each such guarantee to be conclusive evidence of approval thereof; and to do such other acts and things as may be advisable or necessary in order to effect the purposes and intent of these resolutions; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that any and all actions contemplated by the foregoing resolutions and taken by such Authorized Persons prior to the date hereof are hereby ratified, confirmed and approved in all respects.

Dated: June 9, 2005

Biohand C Buld To

John D. Macomber

06/08/2005 $^{16:41}$ Doc 51875 916467582653 Entered 01/21/16 17:58:35 Main Document Pg 17 of 20

Schedule A to LBHI Unanimous Written Consent dated June 9, 2005

<u></u>	Name of Subsidiary	Issue Corporation guarantee from branch located in London, England, to the extent lawful and allowable?
1.	Lehman Brothers Asia Holdings Limited	No
2.	Lehman Brothers Bankhaus A.G.	Yes (London branch of such subsidiary only)
3.	Lehman Brothers Commercial Bank	No
4.	Lehman Brothers Commercial Corporation	No
5.	Lehman Brothers Commercial Corporation Asia Limited	No
6.	Lehman Brothers Equity Finance (Cayman) Limited	No
7.	Lehman Brothers Finance S.A.	No
8.	Lehman Brothers Holdings Plc	Yes
9.	Lehman Brothers International (Europe)	Yes
10.	Lehman Brothers Japan Inc.	No
11.	Lehman Brothers (Luxembourg) Equity Finance S.A.	No
12.	Lehman Brothers (Luxembourg) S.A.	No
13.	Lehman Brothers OTC Derivatives Inc.	No.
I4.	Lehman Brothers Securities Asia Limited	No
15.	Lehman Brothers Securities N.V.	No
16.	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	No
17.	Lehman Brothers Treasury Co. B.V.	No
18.	Lehman Re Limited	No

08-13555-mg Doc 51875 Filed 01/21/16 Entered 01/21/16 17:58:35 Main Document Pg 18 of 20

EXHIBIT B

26/03 '04 19:00 FAX 020 7102 4034 LEHMAN BROTHERS

Ø019/021

GUARANTEE OF LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.

To: Lehman Brothers (Luxembourg) S.A.:

We do hereby absolutely, irrevocably and unconditionally guarantee to pay on your behalf all obligations, whether as borrower or lender, under any stock lending or stock repurchase agreement (the "Obligations"). We guarantee the prompt payment of the Obligations by you, your successors, endorsees or assigns, now existing, or which hereafter may be contracted or existing, as the same shall respectively become due, together with accrued interest and charges, and we agree to reimburse each respective creditor of the Obligations, its successors, endorsees and assigns, for all expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees of enforcing or obtaining or endeavoring to enforce or obtain payment hereunder.

At your request, we will provide an original of this Guarantee to each creditor of your Obligations as requested of us by you in writing.

This Guarantee is absolute and unconditional without limitation as to monetary amount or duration. We shall have no right of subrogation with respect to any payments we make under this Guarantee until all Obligations are paid in full.

This Guarantee is a guarantee of payment, and not of collection, and the beneficiaries of this Guarantee may exercise their rights hereunder without first having to take any action against you or any other guarantor. We agree that in the event the Obligations which are guaranteed hereunder are paid, our liability as guarantor shall continue and remain in full force and effect in the event that all or part of such payment is recovered from you as a preference or fraudulent transfer under the U. S. Federal Bankruptcy Code, or any similar applicable state or foreign law.

We hereby waive diligence, presentment, protest and demand of any kind in connection with the delivery, acceptance, performance, default or enforcement of this Guarantee.

This Guarantee shall be binding upon us, our successors and assigns.

This Guarantee shall remain in full force and effect until the first to occur of (a) a notice by us to you that we are terminating this Guarantee or (b) the Obligations are no longer in existence. Termination of this Guarantee shall not affect our liability hereunder as to the Obligations incurred or arising out of transactions entered into prior to the termination hereof.

08-13555-mg Doc 51875 Filed 01/21/16 Entered 01/21/16 17:58:35 Main Document

Pg 20 of 20

26/03 04 19:01 FAX 020 7102 4034 LEHMAN BROTHERS

Ø020/021

This Guarantee shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and seal on August 24, 2000.

LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.

By:

Name:

O. Budon

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

Title:

SEAL